

Research Update:

DRAFT: Hancock County, IN Series 2025 GO Bonds Rated 'AA+'; Existing Debt Affirmed At 'AA+'; Outlook Stable

October 17, 2025

Overview

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA+' rating to [Hancock County](#), Ind.'s roughly \$6.5 million series 2025 general obligation (GO) bonds.
- We also affirmed our 'AA+' rating on the county's existing GO debt, and the 'AA+' rating on Hancock County Redevelopment Authority's series 2025 bonds redevelopment district lease-rental bonds
- The outlook is stable.

Rationale

Security

The GO bonds are secured by the county's ad valorem property taxes. The ad valorem property tax pledge is subject to state circuit-breaker legislation, which caps the property tax burden for taxpayers based on a percentage of the real estate parcels' gross assessed value (AV). The levy to cover debt service, however, is statutorily protected, allowing the county to distribute circuit-breaker losses first across nondebt service funds that receive property taxes. Furthermore, some of the county's debt is secured by lease rental payments payable from ad valorem property taxes; we reviewed the leases under our criteria and deem the terms standard. We do not distinguish the limited-tax pledge from the unlimited-tax pledge, since we expect the county will use all available resources to service the debt and base the rating on our view of its general creditworthiness. Officials intend to use series 2025 GO bond proceeds for various capital projects.

Lease-rental payments, payable from tax-increment revenue from Hancock County's Mt. Comfort North Allocation Area No. 1 and west allocation area, secure the 2025 redevelopment district ad valorem lease-rental bonds. An ad valorem special-benefits tax, subject to state circuit-breaker

Primary Contacts

Kaiti Vartholomaos
New York
1-212-438-0866
kaiti.vartholomaos
@spglobal.com

Moreen T Skyers-Gibbs
Trenton
1-212-438-1734
moreen.skyers-gibbs
@spglobal.com

tax caps but not to annual appropriation, in Hancock County Redevelopment District, further secures the series 2025 bonds. The special district is coterminous with the county--not including Greenfield or the towns of McCordsville, Fortville, New Palestine, or Cumberland since these municipalities have their own redevelopment districts. The county expects tax-increment-financing revenue to cover debt service, but the rating reflects our assessment of the ad valorem-pledged-revenue stream with the higher credit quality (see: "[Methodology: Rating Approach To Obligations With Multiple Revenue Streams](#)," Nov. 29, 2011.)

We view lease terms as standard, addressing both abatement and construction risk due to insurance provisions and the timing of the first debt-service payment postconstruction. Factoring in these protections, as well as the county's financial stability and flexibility, we rate this limited-tax GO pledge at the same level as an unlimited-tax GO rating.

Credit highlights

The rating reflects our view of the county's robust reserves and steady financial performance. Hancock County is directly east of Indianapolis, including an expanding residential and industrial property tax base. Although much of the county is still rural and unincorporated, it remains recognized as one of the state's fastest-growing counties with population projected to increase 19.5% over the next decade; total taxable value doubled during the same period. We recognize significant economic development initiatives have supported this growth, primarily in the logistics sector due to its favorable location along Interstate 70, Indiana's primary east-west corridor. The county continues to advocate for workforce-development initiatives to support high-tech job growth, including Amplify Hancock, as well as grant-funded transportation and utility infrastructure investments to enhance site readiness and attract additional investment.

We think finances reflect the county's structural budget strength and budgetary practices. We expect positive budgetary performance will likely continue due to long-term planning; further expansion of the main revenue streams, including a recently granted maximum levy appeal by the state department of local government finance; and favorable investment returns, which should continue to support positive operations.

The rating also considers the county's use of cash accounting and limited disclosures in financial reporting, which remain somewhat constraining rating factors.

The rating reflects our opinion of the county's:

- Favorable growth prospects, although current economic metrics lag those of higher-rated peers. Income hovers just below the national average, and gross county product just exceeds 65% of the national average on a per capita basis. Current residents likely commute to other employment opportunities within the metropolitan statistical area, which limits per-pupil gross county product. However recent economic developments include the opening of the largest U.S.-based Walmart Inc. and Amazon fulfillment centers, and the development of 33 industrial buildings. Therefore we expect county job growth associated with ongoing development will likely continue to boost higher economic output, which we incorporate in our analysis.
- Consistent positive budgetary performance, mainly due to a healthy revenue environment that has accelerated reserve growth, which doubled during the past five years. Income tax is the county's leading operating revenue source at 35%, followed by property taxes at 29.5%. As expected, the county generated a surplus in fiscal 2024 and estimates positive results in fiscal 2025, which will continue to support fund balances at current levels. In addition, we recognize the county has about \$7.2 million of reserves outside the general fund that could also support

- general operations, if needed. The county does not expect to make material changes compared with prior years in fiscal 2026 and has no specific plans to spend down its reserves.
- Budgeting practices that rely on a mix of historical lookback analysis and consultation of outside sources that have led to consistently positive operations during the past few fiscal years. The county works with a third-party consultant to produce overall revenue and expense plans for the next three fiscal years that underpin year-to-year budgeting practices. In addition, the county administration provides monthly fund reports to the council and maintains long-term capital plans that outline capital investments required within the next five years. It adheres to formal investment-management and reserve policies of maintaining specific cash targets in each core fund to ensure adequate operational cash flow and security in case of revenue disruption. The county's fund-balance resolution targets maintaining roughly 50% of available reserves as a percentage of revenue in core operating funds.
 - Currently manageable fixed debt-and-liabilities costs. The county has limited near-term debt plans with up to \$5 million in the next year for local government building improvements, which we do not view as material. In addition, there is limited pressure from pension liabilities due to the county's participation in well-funded plans with realistic assumptions.
 - We view financial transparency for counties in Indiana as very weak compared to national peers, highlighted by use of cash-based accounting and limited disclosures in financial reporting. Our analysis uses and compares unaudited reports submitted to the state and subsequent state-issued audits for alignment, the combination of which support our view that the information is sufficiently reliable. For more information on our institutional framework assessment for Indiana counties, see "Institutional Framework Assessment: Indiana Local Governments," published Sept. 15, 2025.

Environmental, social, and governance

We view environmental, social, and governance factors as credit neutral in our rating analysis.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectation of the county's likely consistent financial performance and robust reserves. In our opinion, effective management practices and limited fixed-cost pressure provide further rating stability. Therefore, we do not expect to change the rating within the two-year period.

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if finances weaken, resulting in a draw on current reserves, or if debt increases materially, reducing overall credit quality relative to that of similar-rated peers.

Upside scenario

With all else equal, we could raise the rating if the county improves its transparency and reporting standards, and its economic metrics.

Hancock County, Indiana--credit summary

Institutional framework (IF)	3
Individual credit profile (ICP)	1.90
Economy	2.5

Hancock County, Indiana--credit summary

Institutional framework (IF)	3
Financial performance	2
Reserves and liquidity	1
Management	2.00
Debt and liabilities	2.00

Hancock County, Indiana--key credit metrics

	Most recent	2024	2023	2022
Economy				
Real GCP per capita % of U.S.	65	--	65	67
County PCPI % of U.S.	95	--	95	96
Market value (\$000s)	13,200,563	11,699,531	9,612,414	7,812,513
Market value per capita (\$)	148,433	131,555	115,549	95,430
Top 10 taxpayers % of taxable value	8.1	9.3	8.5	10.3
County unemployment rate (%)	3.5	3.5	2.8	2.6
Local median household EBI % of U.S.	110	110	106	108
Local per capita EBI % of U.S.	96	96	98	98
Local population	88,933	88,933	83,189	81,866
Financial performance				
Operating fund revenues (\$000s)	--	32,497	29,467	24,218
Operating fund expenditures (\$000s)	--	29,080	26,440	24,246
Net transfers and other adjustments (\$000s)	--	(3,003)	(122)	(740)
Operating result (\$000s)	--	414	2,905	(768)
Operating result % of revenues	--	1.3	9.9	(3.2)
Operating result three-year average %	--	2.7	6.6	6.3
Reserves and liquidity				
Available reserves % of operating revenues	--	94.6	100.7	110.6
Available reserves (\$000s)	--	30,729	29,684	26,779
Debt and liabilities				
Debt service cost % of revenues	--	7.6	10.3	8.2
Net direct debt per capita (\$)	1,653	1,617	1,294	1,112
Net direct debt (\$000s)	146,970	143,845	107,670	91,010
Direct debt 10-year amortization (%)	59	56	52	57
Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues	--	2.0	3.0	3.0
NPLs per capita (\$)	--	158	155	107
Combined NPLs (\$000s)	--	14,044	12,933	8,732

Financial data may reflect analytical adjustments and are sourced from issuer audit reports or other annual disclosures. Economic data is generally sourced from S&P Global Market Intelligence, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Claritas, and issuer audits and other disclosures. Local population is sourced from Claritas. Claritas estimates are point in time and not meant to show year-over-year trends. GCP--Gross county product. PCPI--Per capita personal income. EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits. NPLs--Net pension liabilities.

Credit Opinion

Ratings List	
New Issue Ratings	
US\$6.5 mil GO bnds of 2025 ser 2025 due 01/15/2036	
Ratings Affirmed	
Local Government	
Hancock County IN Limited Tax General Fund Pledge	AA+/Stable
Hancock County Redevelopment District IN Limited Tax General Obligation and Tax Increment Revenues	AA+/Stable
Hancock County, IN Lease Non Appropriation Limited Tax and Income Tax Revenues	AA+/Stable
Pooled	
Hancock County, IN Tax Increment Revenues and Lease Non-Appropriation Limited Tax	AA+/Stable

The ratings appearing below the new issues represent an aggregation of debt issues (ASID) associated with related maturities. The maturities similarly reflect our opinion about the creditworthiness of the U.S. Public Finance obligor's legal pledge for payment of the financial obligation. Nevertheless, these maturities may have different credit ratings than the rating presented next to the ASID depending on whether or not additional legal pledge(s) support the specific maturity's payment obligation, such as credit enhancement, as a result of defeasance, or other factors.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/ratings-criteria> for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/article/-/view/sourceId/504352>. Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings referenced herein can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.spglobal.com/ratings.

Hancock County, IN Series 2025 GO Bonds Rated 'AA+'; Existing Debt Affirmed At 'AA+'; Outlook Stable

Copyright ©2025 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software, or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced, or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees, or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Some of the Content may have been created with the assistance of an artificial intelligence (AI) tool. Published Content created or processed using AI is composed, reviewed, edited, and approved by S&P personnel.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment, and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors, and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge) and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.